


urlens

Real World Pilot Results

August 2026

urlens

Real World Pilot & User Validation

Three environments.
Three use cases.
One consistent finding.

OurLens™ helps people see environmental hazards they have become accustomed to overlooking, and turns that awareness into action.
OurLens™ was tested across three distinct real-world environments: home and family safety, remote working environments, and family care.
Across the pilots, participants demonstrated high levels of usability, strong engagement and a measurable willingness to identify and address environmental hazards.


urlens


Key Results at a Glance













urlens

Pilot

Home, Family & Outdoor Environments

urlens

Pilot

Home, Family & Outdoor Environments

30	3000	27
Participants	Scan Allocation	Completed

The first pilot explored how effectively OurLens™ could help individuals identify environmental hazards within their own homes, gardens and the homes of older family members.

Participants were aged 35 to 60 and self-rated their IT ability from average to advanced.

Participants were provided with access to approximately 3,000 scans across the cohort and were encouraged to assess multiple rooms and outdoor areas.
Three participants did not complete the pilot. One participant was unable to use OurLens™ because their phone camera was not capable of supporting the assessment.

Engagement

95% of participants completed their first scan within 24 hours of receiving their access code.

This was measured through monitoring individual access codes.
The result demonstrated that the absence of app downloads, passwords and complex onboarding created very little friction for first-time users.

urlens

Pilot

Home, Family & Outdoor Environments

Hazard Identification

Participants identified an average of 3 environmental hazards per scan.
Hazards included everyday issues that participants had often become accustomed to overlooking, including floor clutter, unsecured rugs, poor lighting and other obstacles within walking routes.

Because participants could scan multiple rooms and outdoor areas, the pilot also demonstrated that environmental awareness extended beyond the immediate room being assessed.

Remediation


84% of identified hazards were reported as remediated within 24 hours.

This increased to:

90% within seven days.

Remediation was established through participant self-reporting and follow-up assessments.

Where structural hazards could not be resolved immediately, participants reported having a plan in place to address them. Examples included uneven paving and other outdoor environmental issues.



urlens

Pilot

Home, Family & Outdoor Environments

Behavioural Awareness

70% of questionnaire respondents reported that using OurLens™ made them more aware of environmental hazards.

Participants also reported continuing to notice hazards outside the immediate assessment process.

This suggests that the value of the assessment may extend beyond the individual scan itself by encouraging a more proactive approach to environmental safety.

30

User Experience

100% of questionnaire respondents rated OurLens™ as either "Easy" or "Exceptionally Easy" to use.

3000

User Experience

80% said they would recommend OurLens™ to others.

27

User Experience

25 participants completed the post-pilot questionnaire.

urlens

Pilot

Remote & Hybrid Working Environments

urlens

Pilot

Remote & Hybrid Working Environments

45	6	40
Employees	Week Assessment Programme	Completed

The second pilot explored the use of OurLens™ for regular home-working environmental and workstation assessments.

Employees were required to complete one assessment each week for six weeks, scanning their desk and working environment and uploading the result to their organisation's internal portal.

The programme was monitored by team leaders.
Five of the 45 participants did not complete the programme due to sickness, holidays or non-participation.

urlens

Pilot

Remote & Hybrid Working Environments

A Clear Week on Week Trend

OurLens™ identified a progressive reduction in the number of hazards present within participants' working environments.

Week1	Approximately 4 to 5 hazards per assessment
Week 2	Approximately 2 hazards
Week 6	Approximately 1 to 2 hazards

The results are presented as a directional trend rather than a precise percentage reduction because exact weekly averages were not recorded.

What Changed?

The hazards identified were not limited to conventional workstation issues. Participants identified:

- Trailing electrical cables
- Poor laptop positioning
- Poor lighting
- Workspace clutter
- Open drinks positioned close to electrical equipment
- Other environmental issues around the working area

The repeated assessment process appeared to increase awareness of the working environment and encourage employees to make practical improvements over time.

urlens

Pilot

Remote & Hybrid Working Environments

User Feedback

The majority of questionnaire respondents reported that OurLens™ was easy or very easy to use.
"Before using OurLens I hadn't really given much thought to my desk set-up and how it changes. We did our workstation assessments when I first started here, but hadn't thought about it since. I have definitely improved my working environment and am definitely keeping my desk tidier."

Working from home Pilot Participant

urlens

Pilot

Family Care & Home Safety

urlens

Pilot

Family Care & Home Safety

30	15	15
Families	OurLens™	Comparison Group

The third pilot examined whether OurLens™ could identify environmental hazards that might be missed when individuals conduct their own conventional home safety assessments.

Fifteen families were provided with OurLens™, while a further 15 families formed a comparison group and completed their own environmental risk assessments without the tool.

More Hazards Identified

On average:

OurLens™ identified approximately 3.4 hazards per room scan compared with:

approximately 1.5 hazards identified through traditional self-assessment.

This means OurLens™ identified more than twice as many environmental hazards on average.

The findings suggest that a structured visual assessment can identify risks that individuals may recognise as part of their surroundings but do not necessarily classify as hazards.

urlens

Pilot

Family Care & Home Safety

What Families Found

Participants identified everyday environmental risks including:

- Clothes left in walkways
- Piles of books
- Trailing cables
- Shoes and other objects left on floors
- Unsecured rugs
- Pets creating potential trip hazards
- Poor lighting and other environmental issues

Many participants reported that they already knew these things were present, but had simply stopped thinking of them as potential hazards.

From Awareness to Action

Families were asked whether using OurLens™ made them more aware of hazards and more likely to address them.

The feedback indicated that the visual nature of the assessment helped turn familiar environmental features into identifiable safety issues.

Where hazards were straightforward to address, remediation frequently happened immediately.

For example, removing shoes or other objects from a walkway required no specialist intervention and could be completed as soon as the issue was identified.

urlens

Pilot
Summary

urlens

Pilot
Summary

The Human Impact

The strongest finding across the pilots was not simply the number of hazards identified.
It was what happened after people saw them.

Bethan Pilot Participant

The majority of questionnaire respondents reported that OurLens™ was easy or very easy to use.
"Before using OurLens I hadn't really given much thought to my desk set-up and how it changes. We did our workstation assessments when I first started here, but hadn't thought about it since. I have definitely improved my working environment and am definitely keeping my desk tidier."

Arlo Pilot Participant

"I actually felt so dumb. OurLens picked up an unsecured rug in my living room. I thought to myself, I'll sort that out later, and then my son slipped on the rug and split his lip open. Lesson learned. Using it has definitely made me more aware of hazards and I find myself spotting things that before I wouldn't even have thought about."

hese experiences illustrate an important principle behind OurLens™:

Familiar does not always mean safe.

urlens

Pilot
Summary

What the Pilot Tells Us

Across three different use cases, the pilots demonstrated several consistent themes.

01 Simple Technology Drives Engagement

OurLens™ required no app download, password or complex training, allowing participants to begin using the tool quickly.

02 Visual Assessment Reveals the Unnoticed

Participants repeatedly identified hazards they had previously become accustomed to overlooking.

03 Identification Can Drive Action

The majority of identified hazards in Pilot 1 were addressed within 24 hours, rising to 90% within seven days.

04 Repeated Use Can Change Behaviour

The remote working pilot showed a clear directional reduction in identified hazards over six weeks.

05 Our Eyes Don't Always See What Our Brains Have Learned to Ignore

Across home, workplace and family care environments, participants reported greater awareness of hazards following their experience with OurLens™.

ourlens

Pilot
Summary

The Bottom Line

OurLens™ is not designed to replace professional judgement, existing health and safety procedures or formal risk assessments.

It provides an additional, rapid visual layer of environmental awareness that can be deployed wherever people need to identify potential hazards quickly.

From homes and family care environments to remote workspaces and operational settings, the pilots demonstrate a simple proposition:

Give people a fast, objective way to look again, and they often see things they stopped noticing.

urlens

Pilot
Summary

Pilot Methodology & Data Note


These results represent real-world pilot observations across three separate user groups. Results were derived from system access data, participant questionnaires, self-reported remediation, follow up assessments and comparison group assessments, as applicable to each pilot.

The 84% and 90% remediation figures are based on participant self-reporting and follow-up assessment. Structural hazards that could not be immediately addressed were recorded separately where participants reported having a planned course of action.

The Pilot 2 weekly hazard figures represent approximate directional averages rather than statistically calculated weekly measurements.

The Pilot 3 comparison represents average hazards identified per room assessment.

These pilot results are presented as observational findings and should not be interpreted as clinical trial results or a guarantee of future outcomes. Individual results may vary depending on environment, user behaviour and implementation.



urlens

August 2026